



University of Colorado Design Review Board Meeting Notes

Date: Thursday, June 24, 2021,
Time: 8:00 – 9:00 a.m.
Location: Zoom Meeting

DRB and Campus Members present: Don Brandes, Sarah Brown, Cheri Gerou, Victor Olgay, Chris Shears, and André Vite, campus DRB member for the University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus (“CU Anschutz”). Mike Winters was unable to join the meeting due to a scheduling conflict.

Others in attendance not otherwise noted:

Kori Donaldson, Senior Director of Capital Assets and ex officio member of the DRB
Tom Hootman, incoming DRB board member
Linda Money, CU Real Estate Services, CU System employee / DRB note taker

Don Brandes, Chair, determined a quorum and called the meeting of the Design Review Board to order at 7:58 a.m.

8:00 – 8:30 a.m. Work Session – Board Only

The DRB reviewed administrative matters and the item on the agenda prior to convening the public portion of the meeting.

**8:30 – 9:00 a.m. UCHealth University of Colorado Hospital Garage 2 Pedestrian Link –
CU Anschutz Medical Campus
Continuation of Schematic Design (Action Required)**

Architects:

Pact Studios LLC, Denver, Colorado, architectural design

Presenters:

Sheila Elijah-Barnwell, Ph.D., AIA, NCARB, LEED AP, EDAC,
Pact Studios

Tanner Draemel, Senior Project Designer, Pact Studios

CU Anschutz Campus Representatives Present:

Sean Menogan, Vice President, Facilities, Design and
Construction, UCHealth

Eric Roarke, Senior Director, Design and Construction,
UC Health

André Vite, AIA, Campus Architect, Office of Institutional
Planning, CU Anschutz

John White, Director, Design and Construction, UCHealth

Description:

Continuation of Schematic Design submittal for a pedestrian bridge intended to connect level 3 of the new parking structure on Lot 2 to level 2 of the existing Anschutz Cancer Pavilion.

A/E Presentation:

A submittal package can be found in the following document on the DRB website, *Meeting Dates, Agendas and Minutes*:

[Attachment 1 – Anschutz Parking Garage Lot 2 Pedestrian Link - 06-24-2021]

DRB Comments

The DRB thanked the design team for updating the submittal based on its previous review and commented that the revisions provide a good solution to earlier concerns raised by the Board.

A. Site & Landscape Architecture

No comments were submitted regarding this matter.

B. Architecture:

- The slope of the bridge may not be as perceptible as depicted in the illustrations because of the relatively short length of the crossing. However, some members of the DRB indicated a preference for the option shown on Page 11, which aligns the horizontal vision glass with the floor levels. The DRB understands this option is more expensive and that is is not a feasible solution.
- Continue with geotechnical studies in order to fine tune the best location of the vertical structural support columns.
- Continue to study (and illustrate) the pedestrian experience on the bridge.
 - Is having glass at toe level desirable?
 - Is the mullion shown the right solution?
 - Should there be hand rails?
 - Should there be any marking or delineation along the length of the bridge?
- Consider the transition between the glass and the wall, from the garage into the bridge, the bridge itself, and from the bridge into the hospital.
 - How could each of these transitions be articulated? Is a flat plane the appropriate strategy, or could something fun be created with the crossing?
- In the Design Development submittal, include call outs and details regarding the materiality of all elements, including the structural supports, connections to the existing structures, and more detail on the location and materiality of the proposed piers.

C. Sustainability and Energy:

- Continue to study the embodied carbon in the concrete mix selected for the construction of the garage and the bridge.
 - Consider a concrete mix with a smaller environmental impact.
 - Use the same concrete mix to for the garage and the bridge.

- Identify (and demonstrate in the next submittal) the energy consumption and relative sustainability of the mechanical systems and lighting planned for the bridge structure.
 - Can the quantity of these systems be reduced? Can they be more energy efficient? Can the equipment itself be smaller, less energy intensive, or used for multiple purposes?

DRB Action

Victor Olgay moved to approve the Schematic Design submittal for the UCHealth University of Colorado Hospital Garage 2 Pedestrian Link on the CU Anschutz Medical Campus taking into consideration the comments noted above. Chris Shears seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

There being no further business, the public meeting of the Design Review Board was adjourned at 9:07 a.m.